

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

RAHEEM JONES,

Petitioner

v.

THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY OF THE
COUNTY OF PHILADELPHIA *et al.*,

Respondents

:
:
:
:
:
:
:

CIVIL ACTION

No. 21-3148

ORDER

AND NOW, this 9th day of January, 2023, upon careful and independent consideration of Raheem Jones's Petition for Writ of *Habeas Corpus* (Doc. No. 2), Respondents' Response in Opposition thereto (Doc. No. 22), Mr. Jones's Reply to Respondents' Response In Opposition to Mr. Jones's Petition for *Habeas Corpus* (Doc. No. 54), Magistrate Judge Carol Sandra Moore Wells's Report and Recommendation (Doc. No. 55), and Mr. Jones's failure to file any objections to the Report and Recommendation, it is hereby **ORDERED**:

1. The unopposed Report and Recommendation (Doc. No. 55) is **APPROVED** and **ADOPTED**;
2. Mr. Jones's Petition for Writ of *Habeas Corpus* (Doc. No. 2) is **DISMISSED** as set forth in the Report and Recommendation and the accompanying memorandum;
3. A Certificate of Appealability shall not issue; and,
4. The Clerk of Court shall mark this matter **CLOSED** for all purposes, including statistics.

BY THE COURT:



GENE E.K. PRATTER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE